

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,476	06/28/2001	Joun Ho Lee	8733.481.00 3748		
30827 75	590 10/15/2004	EXAMINER			
MCKENNA I 1900 K STREE	LONG & ALDRIDGE LI	KIELIN, ERIK J			
WASHINGTO	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2813	·	
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	plication No. Applicant(s)					
		09/892,47	6	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Erik Kielin		2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statu od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 August 2004.							
2a)⊠	D⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,7,9 and 16-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 5 6 10-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

This action responds to the Amendment filed 4 August 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claim 1 was amended from

"the data electrodes overlap the common line at a minimum area so as to maintain an electric field generated between the common electrodes and the data electrodes in the same direction as a rubbing direction..."

(Emphasis added.)

to remove the highlighted portion, or

"the data electrodes overlap the common line at a minimum area so as to maintain an electric field generated between the common electrodes and the data electrodes..."

As presently written, Applicant is not claiming the invention because the "minimum area" overlap has nothing whatever to do with maintaining the electric field, in general. Rather, common lines and data lines in IPS LCDs always have an electric field when a voltage is selectively applied via control of the TFT.

The remaining claims are rejected for depending from the above rejected claims.

For the purposes of patentability, the claims will be interpreted as best understood.

Art Unit: 2813

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,341,003 B1 (Ashizawa et al.).

Regarding claim 1, **Ashizawa** discloses an in-plane switching mode LCD device comprising:

first and second substrates (SUB1, SUB2, Fig. 2);

gate lines **GL** and data lines **DL** defining a pixel region on the first substrate (Figs. 16, 18, 19, 21, 22);

a plurality of common CT and data electrodes PX (called "pixel electrodes" in Ashizawa) formed to cross one another within the pixel region at constant intervals;

a common line CL formed in parallel with the gate line GL, the common electrodes CT being diverged from the common line CL;

a thin film transistor TFT formed in a crossing portion of the gate and data lines; and a liquid crystal layer (LC, Fig. 2) formed between the first and second substrates,

wherein the data electrodes PX are connected with the thin film transistor at one side and the data electrodes overlap the common line at a minimum area so as to maintain an electric field generated between the common electrodes and the data electrodes in the same direction as a

Art Unit: 2813

rubbing direction, as shown for example in Fig. 21 (col. 4, lines 18-29; col. 21, line 54 to col. 24, line 22), and

wherein some edges of the data electrodes PX in the minimum area are located on an inner portion of the common line CL and other edges of the data electrodes PX located away from the common line CL are rounded and include portions that are non-overlapping with the common line (Figs. 22, 33, 35(B) 38(A); especially col. 31, last paragraph). In this regard, Ashizawa states at col. 24,

"FIG. 22 is a schematic plan view of one pixel that is enclosed by a light shield film, that is, the main structure of a liquid crystal display device according to a fifth embodiment of the invention. In the third embodiment, the occurrence of a rubbing defect is prevented by equalizing the direction θS of the peripheries of those portions of the pixel electrode PX that are connected to the storage capacitor Cstg to the rubbing direction θR at the crossing portions having a level difference where those portions of the pixel electrode PX cross the counter voltage signal line CL." (Emphasis added.)

And at col. 31, last paragraph states,

"FIG. 38(A) shows the electrode structure having curved corners at the joining portion e' of FIG. 35(A) and the crossing portion a' of FIG. 35(B), partially." (Emphasis added.)

Regarding claim 2, the common electrodes CT include a first common electrode formed in parallel with the data line TFT and diverged from the common line CL within the pixel region (Fig. 18);

a second common electrode **CT** formed with at least one data electrode **PX** interposed between the first common electrode **CT** and the second common electrode **CT** in parallel with the first common electrode **CT** and diverged from the common line **CL** (Fig. 18); and

Art Unit: 2813

a third common electrode CT formed with at least one data electrode PX interposed between the second common electrode CT and the third common electrode CT, having one end connected with one end of the second common electrode (i.e. by the common line CL) (Figs. 18).

Regarding claim 5, the overlap of the data electrodes PX with the common line CL form edge portions that are selectively located inside and outside the common line (Figs. 16, 18, 19, 21, 22).

Regarding claim 6, the selective inside and outside locations of the edge portions are based upon a rubbing direction, as shown for example in Fig. 21 (col. 4, lines 18-29; col. 21, line 54 to col. 24, line 22; Figs. 16, 18, 19, 21, 22).

Regarding claim 10, Ashizawa discloses an in-plane switching liquid crystal display device, comprising:

a plurality of parallel data lines DL (Fig. 32);

a plurality of gate lines GL, crossing the data lines DL, such that a pixel region is defined by the data and gate lines;

a thin film transistor **TFT** comprising source, drain and gate electrodes formed at a crossing point of the data and gate lines;

a common line CL within the pixel region;

a plurality of common electrodes CT extending in a direction perpendicular to the common line CL;

a plurality of data electrodes **PX** parallel to the common electrodes **CT**, wherein first ends of the data electrodes connected to the drain of said thin film transistor **TFT**, and second

Art Unit: 2813

ends of the data electrodes are located on an inner portion of the common line, wherein edges of the data electrodes **PX** located away from the common line are rounded and include portions that are non-overlapping with the common line, and wherein the data electrodes **PX** and the common electrodes **CT** form an alternating pattern; and

a transverse data electrode Cstg overlying the common line CL and connecting second ends of the data electrodes PX, the transverse data electrode Cstg having a first portion having a first width and a second portion having a second width, wherein the first width is less than the second width; wherein the first width is sufficiently narrow that disinclination is removed. (Disinclination is necessarily removed because Ashizawa teaches that the common and data electrodes are fashioned to prevent alignment problems due to the rubbing (alignment) direction of the liquid crystals, which Applicant indicates is the problem leading to disinclination. See col. 4, lines 18-29; col. 21, line 54 to col. 24, line 22; Figs. 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 33, 35(A), 35(B), and 38(A).)

With respect to the rounded corners at the joining portions of the data electrodes PX with the transverse data electrode C_{stg} --away from the common line CL-- Ashizawa shows rounded corners in Figs. 35(B) and 38(A) as discussed at col. 31, line 48 to col. 32, line 14, wherein Ashizawa states that 35(A) and 35(B) are related to that shown in Figs. 38(A) and 38(B) -- especially at the location denoted "a'." Ashizawa additionally shows rounding in the rubbing direction when Figs. 35(B) and 38(A) are considered with at least Fig. 22 as discussed in col. 24, lines 4-21 and in more detail in the section entitled "3. Preferred Electrode Structures for Suppressing 'Alignment Defects'" in col. 21, line 54 to col. 27, line 3. Note that DIR(Np) and DIR(Nn) are the rubbing directions θ R. (See Ashizawa col. 25.) Finally, as noted above in the

Art Unit: 2813

rejection of the claims. Rounding provides and infinite number of directions since rounding generates an arc. Accordingly, the rubbing directions DIR(Np) and DIR(Nn) are shown to fall within the directions of the arc.

Regarding claim 11, the first ends of the common electrodes CT intersect the common line CL wherein at least one corner portion of a vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes CT and the common line CL is rounded (Fig. 38(A)); and

wherein at least one corner portion of a vertex of a connecting point of the second ends of the data electrodes **PX** and the transverse data electrode is substantially rounded (Fig. 38(A)).

Regarding claim 12, the first portion of the transverse data electrode (not labeled, but shown as the connecting portion of the data electrodes **PX** overlying the common line **CL**; Fig. 18) corresponds to the at least one corner portion of the vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes **CT** and the common line **CL**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,281,958 B1 (Nakajima) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (APA).

Regarding claim 1, Nakajima discloses an in-plane switching mode LCD device (Title) comprising:

Art Unit: 2813

7, lines 35-61,

first 20 and second 21 substrates (col. 3, line 44; Fig. 2);

gate lines 39 (called "source line" in Nakajima) and data lines 31 defining a pixel region on the first substrate (Fig. 3);

a plurality of common 33 and data electrodes 40 (called "pixel electrodes" in Nakajima) formed to cross one another within the pixel region at constant intervals;

a common line 32 formed in parallel with the gate line 31, the common electrodes 33 being diverged from the common line 32;

a thin film transistor 38 formed in a crossing portion of the gate and data lines; and a liquid crystal layer 16 (Fig. 2) formed between the first 20 and second 21 substrates, wherein the data electrodes 40 are connected with the thin film transistor at one side and the data electrodes overlap the common line at a minimum area so as to maintain an electric field generated between the common electrodes and the data electrodes in a same direction as the rubbing direction (called "initial aligning angle" in Nakajima at col. 7, line 25, for example; col. 7, lines 13-61), and some edges of the data electrodes 40 in the minimum area are located on an

inner portion of the common line 32 and other edges of the data electrodes 40 are located away

from the common line 32 (as shown e.g. in Figs. 3, and 4). In this regard, Nakajima states at col.

"Therefore, unlike the conventional arrangement [of the pixel electrode and common electrodes/line], the present embodiment does not cause a difference between the inclining direction of the electric line of force [i.e. the electric field] and the initial aligning direction [i.e. rubbing direction] of the liquid crystal molecules, partially within the same pixel, especially on ends of the display section. Namely, the inclining directions of the electric lines of force 18 are set so as to be even within the same pixel, and the initial aligning directions of the liquid crystal molecules 19 are set so as to be the same as the inclining direction of the electric lines of force 18. With this arrangement, for example, when

Art Unit: 2813

voltage is applied, the liquid crystal molecules 19 rotate to the right in the entire pixel in the liquid crystal display panel of the present embodiment; therefore, it becomes possible to **considerably improve** the display quality as compared with the conventional arrangement. Additionally, the state of a rotating liquid crystal molecule during the application of voltage will be described later." (Emphasis added.)

"As described above, the initial aligning angle of the liquid crystal molecule 19 is not particularly limited as long as the initial aligning angle is set in accordance with the inclining direction of the electric line of force 18 of the display section 17. For example, it is possible to obtain sufficient contrast in the case when the angle ranges between 0° to 45° in the clockwise direction in the FIG. 1 with regard to the branching wires of the pixel electrode 10 and the common electrode 3 which are disposed orthogonally to the common line 2. Here, the angle is set at 2° in the present embodiment." (Emphasis added.)

(See also col. 3, lines 58-62; section entitled "EMBODIMENT 3" beginning at col. 11, line 1 -- especially col. 13, lines 5-15.)

Nakajima does not state that the data electrodes 40 located away from the common line 32 (as shown in Fig. 3) are rounded.

APA in paragraph [19] states that such corners are inherently rounded.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to form the corners of Nakajima to be rounded, because APA states that this occurs as a matter of the manufacturing and that only in "design" are the corners shown to be "right angles."

With this in mind, because Nakajima shows some edges of the data electrodes perpendicularly intersecting the data lines **away from the common lines** (Figs. 3 and 4, for example), these corners will necessarily be rounded at an area away from the common lines and therefore meet this claim feature.

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding claim 2, the plurality of common electrodes 33 include a first common electrode formed in parallel with the data line 39 and diverged from the common line 32 within the pixel region;

a second common electrode 33 formed with at least one data electrode 40 interposed between the first common electrode 33 and the second common electrode 33 in parallel with the first common electrode 33 and diverged from the common line 32; and

a third common electrode 33 formed with at least one data electrode 40 interposed between the second common electrode 33 and the third common electrode 33, the second common electrode having one end connected with one end of the second common electrode (i.e. by the common line 32).

Regarding claim 3, Nakajima discloses, the data electrodes 70 include a first data electrode having one side connected with the thin film transistor 68 and the other side extended to an upper portion of the common line 62, and a second data electrode 70 formed between the second common electrode 63 and the third common electrode 63, wherein the second data electrode 70 is connected with the first data electrode 70 at the upper portion of the common line 62 (Fig. 4). Nakajima also discloses in Fig. 3 that the first and second data electrodes are connected at "the one side of the transistor" and at the opposite side.

Nakajima does not show in a single embodiment the combination that the first and second data electrodes are connected both at "the one side of the first data electrode" near the transistor and also over the common line.

APA teaches that the connection of the first and second data electrodes at "the one side" and over the common line is conventional in prior art Fig. 2

Art Unit: 2813

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to connect the first and second data electrodes over the common line and at "the one side of the first data electrode" because Nakajima teaches each configuration separately and because APA teaches that this configuration is conventional. Moreover, this feature is not shown to be critical to the objective of the invention, which is instead to form the data and common electrodes so that the electric field generated thereby is aligned with the initial alignment of the liquid crystals. Where the data electrodes connect has not been shown to affect said objective.

Regarding claim 5, the overlap of the data electrodes 40 overlapped with the common line 32 form edge portions that are selectively located inside and outside the common line.

Regarding claim 6, the selective inside and outside locations of the edge portions are based on a rubbing direction 49 (called "initial inclination direction" in Nakajima; col. 7, lines 13-61).

Regarding claim 10, Nakajima discloses an in-plane switching liquid crystal display device, comprising:

a plurality of parallel data lines 69 (Fig. 4);

a plurality of gate lines 61, crossing the data lines 69, such that a pixel region is defined by the data and gate lines;

a thin film transistor 68 comprising source, drain and gate electrodes formed at a crossing point of the data and gate lines;

a common line 62 within the pixel region;

a plurality of common electrodes 63 extending in a direction perpendicular to the common line 62;

Art Unit: 2813

a plurality of data electrodes 70 parallel to the common electrodes 63, wherein (1) first ends of the data electrodes connected to the drain of said thin film transistor 68 (because the source is connected to the source line 9 the drain is necessarily connected to the data [i.e. the pixel] electrodes 70 as stated at col. 5, lines 6-12 and at col. 6, lines 8-14 and as shown in Fig. 2), (2) second ends of the data electrodes are located on an inner portion of the common line 62, (3) wherein some edges of the data electrodes 70 are located away from the common line and include portions that are non-overlapping with the common line, and (4) wherein the data electrodes 70 and the common electrodes 63 forming an alternating pattern; and

a transverse data electrode 70 overlying the common line 62 and connecting second ends of the data electrodes 70, the transverse data electrode 70 having a first portion having a first width and a second portion having a second width,

wherein the first width is less than the second width; wherein the first width is sufficiently narrow that disinclination is removed. (Disinclination is necessarily removed because **Nakajima** teaches that the liquid crystal functions properly everywhere at col. 3, lines 58-62 and col. 7, lines 13-61.)

Nakajima does not state that the edges of the data electrodes 70 located away from the common line are "rounded in a same direction as a rubbing direction." However, Nakajima states at col. 12, lines 39-44,

"It is possible to obtain sufficient contrast in the case when the initial aligning angle [i.e. rubbing direction] of the liquid crystal molecule 79 ranges between 0° to 45° in the clockwise direction in the Figure [4] with regard to the wires of the pixel [i.e. data] electrodes 70 and the common electrodes 63, which are disposed orthogonally to the common line 62." (Emphasis added.)

Art Unit: 2813

APA in paragraph [19] states that the electrode corners are inherently rounded as a result of the manufacturing. Since Nakajima indicates that the rubbing direction may be 0° to 45°, the inherent rounding of the corner edges of the data electrodes 70 away from the common line are in "a" rubbing direction as shown in Fig. 4 of Nakajima. The rounded edges inherently occurring at the corners of the data electrodes would be 0° to 90° in a clockwise direction of Nakajima's Fig. 4, as shown to be inherent in the APA Figs. 2B and 2C. (Even narrowly interpreting the rounding direction as shown in Fig. 4 of the instant application, at Nakajima's 45° rubbing direction, is the inherent direction of rounding indicated to naturally occur during manufacturing, as stated by APA, of the corner edges of the electrodes located away from the common line in Nakajima.)

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to form the corners of Nakajima to be rounded, because APA states that this occurs as a matter of the manufacturing and that only in "design" are the corners shown to be "right angles."

Regarding claim 11, as noted above, **Nakajima** discloses each of the features of claim 10 and additionally, that first ends of the common electrodes **62** intersect the common line **62** and that the data electrodes **70** have an intersecting point with the transverse electrode **70** (Fig. 4). But **Nakajima** does not indicate (1) that at least one corner portion of a vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes and the common line is rounded; and (2) that at least one corner portion of a vertex of a connecting point of the second ends of the data electrodes and the transverse data electrode is substantially rounded. In short, **Nakajima** does not indicate that the corners formed at the intersection points of the electrodes with the lines are rounded.

Art Unit: 2813

APA in paragraph [19] states that such corners are inherently rounded. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to form the corners of Nakajima to be rounded, because APA states that this occurs as a matter of the manufacturing and that only in "design" are the corners shown to be "right angles."

Regarding claim 12, Nakajima discloses that the first portion of the transverse data electrode corresponds to the at least one corner portion of the vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes and the common line.

Regarding claim 13, Nakajima does not disclose that the LCD further comprises a transverse common electrode connected to second ends of the common electrodes, wherein at least one corner portion of a vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes and the transverse common electrode is rounded.

APA prior art Fig. 2C teaches that the transverse common electrode is a common configuration and that it is known to round the corners of the intersection between the electrodes and the transverse electrodes (paragraph [19]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to apply the features of the APA to Nakajima to connect the common electrodes ends opposite the common line and to round the corners, because APA teaches that this is common in the art.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Nakajima does not discloses that the second ends of the data electrodes 40 (Fig. 3) connect to a second transverse data electrode, the second transverse data electrode having a third portion having a third width and a fourth portion having a fourth width, wherein the third width is less than the fourth width (instant claim 14). Nakajima also fails to disclose that the third portion of the second transverse data electrode corresponds to the at

Art Unit: 2813

least one corner portion of the vertex of the intersection of the common electrodes and the transverse common electrode (instant claim 15).

APA prior art Fig. 2C shows these features.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to apply the features of the APA to Nakajima to connect the data electrodes ends opposite the first transverse electrode, because APA teaches that this is common in the art.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4 August 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that each of Ashizawa, and Nakajima in view of APA, fails to teach the entire list of features in the last paragraph of claim 1 and in the third to last paragraph of claim 10. Examiner respectfully disagrees as these features have been pointed out in the rejection of the claim. Applicant must be more explicit as to why Applicant believes the applied art lacks these features. Otherwise, it is unclear to Examiner why Applicant believes these features to be lacking. Moreover, it is noted that merely pointing out the difference in what a claim **states** is not an argument as to how the claim distinguish from the prior art. Examiner believes the presently claimed features to be shown in the applied references.

Art Unit: 2813

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erik Kielin whose telephone number is 571-272-1693. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 19:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2813

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erik Kielin

Primary Examiner

14 October 2004